



6297

Date: Wed, 30 Apr 1997 12:47:45 -0400
From: PARRIS Glendening <governor@gov.state.md.us>
To: winstead@clark.net
Subject: Letter to Parris Glendening re ICC -Forwarded

RECEIVED

MAY 6 1997

SECRETARY DEPARTMENT
OF TRANSPORTATION

Dana: Forwarding for your action.
Date: Wed, 30 Apr 1997 02:28:07 -0400
From: "Dan Robinson" <aligo@us.net>
To: governor@gov.state.md.us
Cc: EKSharp@aol.com, takomavoices@myhouse.com
Subject: Letter to Parris Glendening re ICC

To: Parris Glendening
State House
Annapolis MD 21401
governor@gov.state.md.us

From: Dan Robinson
120 Grant Ave.
Takoma Park, MD 20912

Re: Inter County Connector

Date: 4/30/97

Dear Governor Glendening,

After having become educated as to the environmental damage represented by any build options for the Inter County Connector (ICC), and after having observed the manner in which the current study has been done, I am convinced that any build option is a very bad idea.

If the Inter County Connector is built, I will certainly not vote for you next term, and I will do my best to convince all my friends, family and acquaintances to take the same view.

If the \$1 billion+ price tag is divided by the resident of Montgomery County, each taxpayer's share is over \$1,000. I am not willing to pay this, given the shabby treatment of the citizens involved in the planning process, the spurious reasoning put forth for the build options, and the severe environmental and social degradation the ICC represents.

Your Smart Growth ideas appealed to me when I first heard them. I imagined that you supported growth solutions which minimized environmental destruction by supporting development where it would help people in need. The ICC does not forward either of these goals.



I don't think that any poll or referendum on the ICC would show support for building it. I encourage you to re-evaluate your position on the ICC, and take immediate measures to reverse the mechanism which you have put in place. One such measure would be to forward this letter to the Federal Highway Administration, requesting them to extend the 73 day comment period on the preliminary study to a minimum of 120 days. To put this in context, the '83 study had a 90 day comment period, and was perhaps a tenth the size of the current document.

Sincerely,

Dan Robinson

cc: Takoma Park electronic mailing list (130 subscribers); Takoma Park Mayor Edward F. Sharp